

San Mateo County Transit District

Proposition 1B Bond Program
Project Numbers 0413000130 and 0413000352

Team Members

Jennifer Whitaker, Chief
Cheryl L. McCormick, CPA, Assistant Chief
Rick Cervantes, CPA, Manager
Jon Chapple, CPA, Manager
Robert Scott, MSA, CPA, CGMA, Supervisor
Bryan Nguyen
An Truong

Final reports are available on our website at http://www.dof.ca.gov

You can contact our office at:

California Department of Finance Office of State Audits and Evaluations 915 L Street, 6th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-2985

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

Transmitted via e-mail

October 19, 2017

Ms. Alice M. Lee, Chief External Audits—Contracts, Audits and Investigations California Department of Transportation 1304 O Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Lee:

Final Report—San Mateo County Transit District, Proposition 1B Audit

The California Department of Finance, Office of State Audits and Evaluations, has completed its audit of the San Mateo County Transit District's (District) Proposition 1B funded projects listed below:

Project Number	P Number	Project Name
0413000130	P2535-0087	Replacement of Gillig Buses
0413000352	P2535-0088	Advance Communications System Upgrade

The enclosed report is for your information and use. After review of the draft report, the District chose not to provide a written response. This report will be placed on our website.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Rick Cervantes, Manager, or Robert Scott, Supervisor, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Jennifer Whitaker, Chief Office of State Audits and Evaluations

Enclosure

cc: Ms. Elena Guerrero, Acting Audit Manager, External Audits-Contracts, Audits and Investigations

Mr. Jim Hartnett, Executive Director, San Mateo County Transit District

Ms. Rebecca Arthur, Senior Grants Analyst, San Mateo County Transit District

BACKGROUND, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

BACKGROUND

California voters approved the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (Proposition 1B) for \$19.925 billion. These bond proceeds finance a variety of transportation programs. Although the bond funds are made available to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) upon appropriation by the Legislature, CTC allocates these funds to the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to implement various programs.1

CTC awarded \$5.6 million of Proposition 1B State-Local Partnership Program Account (SLPP)

funds to the San Mateo County Transit District (District) for the Replacement of Gillig Buses

project (0413000130) and Advance Communications System Upgrade project (0413000352). These completed projects replaced transit buses that have exceeded their useful life and updated aging communications and computer aided dispatch/automatic vehicle location mobile equipment. The projects were implemented by the District, which is the administrative body for the principal public transit and transportation programs in San Mateo County.²

SCOPE

As requested by Caltrans, the California Department of Finance, Office of State Audits and Evaluations, audited the projects described in the Background section of this report. The audit period for each project is identified in Appendix A.

The audit objectives were to determine whether:

- Proposition 1B expenditures were incurred and reimbursed in compliance with the executed project agreements, Caltrans/CTC's program guidelines, and applicable state and federal regulations cited in the executed agreement.
- Deliverables/outputs were consistent with the project scopes and schedules.
- Benefits/outcomes, as described in the executed project agreements or approved amendments, were achieved and adequately reported in the Final Delivery Reports.

We did not assess the efficiency or effectiveness of program operations.

¹ Excerpts were obtained from the bond accountability website https://bondaccountability.dot.ca.gov/.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION¹

SLPP: \$1 billion of bond proceeds made available to the SLPP to finance a variety of eligible transportation projects nominated by applicant transportation agencies. For an applicant transportation agency to receive bond funds, Proposition 1B requires a dollar-for-dollar match of local funds.

² Excerpts were obtained from the District's website www.smctd.com.

District management is responsible for ensuring accurate financial reporting; compliance with contract provisions, state and federal regulations, and applicable program guidelines; and the adequacy of its job cost system to accumulate and segregate reasonable, allocable, and allowable expenditures. CTC and Caltrans are responsible for the state-level administration of the programs.

METHODOLOGY

To achieve the audit objectives, we performed the following procedures:

- Examined the project files, project agreements, program supplements, program guidelines, and applicable policies and procedures.
- Reviewed procurement records to ensure compliance with applicable local and state procurement requirements.
- Selected a sample of expenditures to determine if they were project-related, properly incurred, authorized, and supported.
- Reviewed accounting records, progress payments, and cancelled checks.
- Evaluated whether other revenue sources were used to reimburse expenditures already reimbursed with bond funds.
- Verified the match requirement was met.
- Evaluated whether project deliverables/outputs were met by reviewing supporting documentation and conducting site visits to verify project existence.
- Evaluated whether project deliverables/outputs were completed on schedule by reviewing project files, project agreements or amendments, and Final Delivery Reports.
- Determined whether project benefits/outcomes were achieved by comparing actual project benefits/outcomes reported in the Final Delivery Reports with the expected project benefits/outcomes described in the executed project agreements or amendments.
- Evaluated whether project benefits/outcomes were adequately reported in the Final Delivery Reports by reviewing a sample of supporting documentation.

In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of internal control, including any information systems controls that we considered significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed whether those controls were properly designed, implemented, and operating effectively. Deficiencies in internal control that were identified during our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Proposition 1B expenditures were incurred and reimbursed in compliance with the executed project agreements, Caltrans/CTC's program guidelines, and applicable state and federal regulations cited in the executed agreements. In addition, except as noted below, project deliverables/outputs were consistent with the project scopes and schedules. Although each of the projects were behind schedule, the District informed Caltrans and CTC of the delays.

The District adequately reported project benefits/outcomes in the Final Delivery Reports and achieved the expected project benefits/outcomes as described in the executed project agreements or approved amendments. The *Summary of Projects Reviewed* is presented in Appendix A.

Finding 1: Final Delivery Reports Not Submitted Timely

The Final Delivery Reports for each project were not submitted to CTC within six months of the projects becoming operable. The Final Delivery Reports for projects 0413000130 and 0413000352 were due September 2015 and February 2016, respectively, and were submitted to Caltrans in May 2017.

The District stated the Final Delivery Reports were submitted late because it was unaware of the report filing requirements. Late submission of reports decreases transparency of the status of a project and prevents Caltrans/CTC's ability to timely review the completed project's scope, final costs, project schedule, and performance outcomes.

SLPP Program Guidelines, section 14 requires a Final Delivery Report be submitted to CTC within six months after the project becomes operable.

Recommendations:

- A. Read and review program guidelines to ensure a clear understanding of the requirements.
- B. Submit Final Delivery Reports for future state funded projects as required.

APPENDIX A

The following acronyms are used throughout Appendix A.

• California Department of Transportation: Caltrans

• California Transportation Commission: CTC

San Mateo County Transit District: District

• State-Local Partnership Program Account: SLPP

Summary of Projects Reviewed

Project Number	Expenditures Reimbursed	Project Status	Expenditures In Compliance	Deliverables/ Outputs Consistent	Benefits/ Outcomes Achieved	Benefits/ Outcomes Adequately Reported	Page
0413000130	\$5,269,838	С	Y	Y	Y	Y	A-1
0413000352	\$ 84,579	С	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	A-2

<u>Legend</u> C = Complete

Y = Yes

Project Number: 0413000130

Project Name: Replacement of Gillig Buses

Program Name: SLPP

Project Description: Purchase 62 hybrid and diesel buses to replace the 1998 Gillig bus fleet

that have exceeded their useful life and are in need of replacement.

Audit Period: January 11, 2013 through January 31, 2015¹

Project Status: Project is complete.

Schedule of Proposition 1B Expenditures

Proposition 1B Expenditures	Reimbursed
Bus Acquisitions	\$ 5,269,838
Total Proposition 1B Expenditures	\$ 5,269,838

Audit Results:

Compliance-Proposition 1B Expenditures

Proposition 1B expenditures were incurred and reimbursed in compliance with the executed project agreements, Caltrans/CTC's program guidelines, and applicable state and federal regulations cited in the executed agreements. Additionally, the match requirement was met.

Deliverables/Outputs

The project was completed in March 2015. At the time of our site visit in May 2017, project deliverables/outputs were consistent with the project scope. However, the project was behind schedule and completed 3 months late. The District updated Caltrans and CTC of the delay. Additionally, the project Final Delivery Report was due in September 2015 and was submitted in May 2017.

Benefits/Outcomes

Actual project benefits/outcomes were adequately reported in the Final Delivery Report. Additionally, the District achieved the expected project benefits/outcomes as described in the executed project agreement or approved amendments.

Expected Benefits/Outcomes	Actual Benefits/Outcomes	Benefits/Outcomes Achieved
Ensure public transit is reliable by reducing breakdowns.	The acquisition of new buses has resulted in reduced breakdowns.	Yes
Cost-effective due to reduced repair costs.	The reduction in breakdowns has resulted in reduced bus repair costs.	Yes
Produce less air emissions than the 1998 buses.	The new buses produce less air emissions than the older buses.	Yes

¹ The audit period end date reflects the billing period end date of the last reimbursement claim submitted to Caltrans.

Project Number: 0413000352

Project Name: Advance Communications System Upgrade

Program Name: SLPP

Project Description: Replace aging communications and computer aided dispatch/automatic

vehicle location mobile equipment on transit buses. The SLPP funds will be used primarily towards the purchase of bus and dispatcher radios to increase radio voice and data communications capacity, reduce polling rates, and provide adequate bandwidth to support current and future

operations.

Audit Period: January 11, 2013 through January 31, 2015²

Project Status: Project is complete.

Schedule of Proposition 1B Expenditures

Proposition 1B Expenditures	Reimbursed
Communications Equipment Acquisition	\$ 84,579
Total Proposition 1B Expenditures	\$ 84,579

Audit Results:

Compliance-Proposition 1B Expenditures

Proposition 1B expenditures were incurred and reimbursed in compliance with the executed project agreements, Caltrans/CTC's program guidelines, and applicable state and federal regulations cited in the executed agreements. Additionally, the match requirement was met.

Deliverables/Outputs

The project was completed in August 2015. At the time of our site visit in May 2017, project deliverables/outputs were consistent with the project scope. However, the project was behind schedule and completed 14 months late. The District appropriately updated Caltrans and CTC of the delay. Additionally, the project Final Delivery Report was due in February 2016 and was submitted in May 2017.

Benefits/Outcomes

Actual project benefits/outcomes were adequately reported in the Final Delivery Report. Additionally, the District achieved the expected project benefits/outcomes as described in the executed project agreement or approved amendments.

Expected Benefits/Outcomes	Actual Benefits/Outcomes	Benefits/Outcomes Achieved
Improved communications between dispatchers and revenue vehicles for passenger transfers.	The new communications upgrade resulted in improved communications between dispatchers and revenue vehicles.	Yes
Improved scheduling information through increased polling of Automatic Vehicle Location equipment.	The polling rate has been reduced resulting in improved scheduling information.	Yes

² Ibid.