**Budget Request Description**
Repurposing Condemned Housing

**Budget Request Summary**
The Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation requests $1.5 million one-time General Fund for a consulting contract to repurpose condemned housing/support spaces at San Quentin State Prison.
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A. Budget Request Summary
The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) requests $1.5 million one-time General Fund for a consulting contract to repurpose condemned housing/support spaces at San Quentin State Prison (SQ).

B. Background/History
In January 2020, CDCR implemented a two-year voluntary Condemned Inmate Transfer Pilot Program (CITPP) to provide male and female condemned inmates with additional job placement opportunities. This pilot was initiated to meet the provisions in Proposition 66 (approved by voters in 2016), which requires condemned inmates to participate in institution jobs in order to pay court-ordered restitution to their victims, and provide additional rehabilitative and educational opportunities.

CITPP allows for the transfer of male inmates from SQ to one of seven other locations in the state: California Correctional Institution; California Medical Facility; California State Prison, Corcoran; Centinela State Prison; Kern Valley State Prison; Richard J. Donovan Correctional Facility; and Salinas Valley State Prison. Female condemned inmates who participate in the CITPP remain at the Central California Women's Facility, but are transferred to less restrictive housing. These institutions were selected as they have Level III and IV secure facilities and lethal electrified fences.

Participation is voluntary and, if approved, condemned inmates are subject to the same disciplinary process as all incarcerated people, up to and including being housed in an administrative segregation or security housing unit should it be determined they cannot be safely housed with others. All condemned inmates approved to participate in CITPP are moved to alternate housing units commensurate with their case factors and security levels. Please see the CDCR Memorandum for the Condemned Inmate Transfer Pilot Program for additional information on the program structure and procedures located at: https://www.cdcr.ca.gov/regulations/wp-content/uploads/sites/171/2020/02/CITPP-Pilot_ADA.pdf.

C. State Level Consideration
This proposal is consistent with CDCR’s mission of enhancing public safety by implementing rehabilitative strategies to successfully reintegrate formerly incarcerated people into California communities. CDCR continues to meet the demands of the citizens of California to evolve as an agency focused on the rehabilitative needs of the offenders entrusted to its care.

CDCR’s collaborative effort to develop and operationalize an innovative, rehabilitation- and reentry-focused institution will strengthen CDCR’s multi-faceted mission and vision, which includes a focus on the successful rehabilitation of offenders. This proposal is consistent with an increased focus on rehabilitation and recidivism reduction aimed at decreasing incarceration rates and improving public safety.

D. Justification
CDCR’s mission has changed in recent decades, emphasizing providing opportunities to access rehabilitative programming, promotion of pro-social behaviors through education and cognitive behavioral treatment, and increased employability through career technical training and transitional reentry programs. To enhance the Department’s ability to meet this mission, CDCR must reshape the prison environment.

As CDCR moves towards permanent implementation of the CITPP, it is imperative that CDCR commissions an evaluation to determine the best future use of condemned housing and ancillary areas. CDCR anticipates this evaluation will further its goal to create a positive, healing environment that provides increased rehabilitative, educational, and health care opportunities at
Analysis of Problem

SQ. The area used for condemned housing and supporting activities is co-located on the Upper Yard with programming Level II incarcerated individuals. The consultant study will review options to incorporate unique and innovative uses for the current condemned housing and supporting activity space.

To that end, CDCR is requesting $1.5 million to support a consulting contract to repurpose the areas used for condemned housing and supporting activities.

E. Outcomes and Accountability

Upon successful completion of the evaluation, CDCR will assess the options presented and may ultimately develop a future funding request to modify the condemned housing and support spaces at SQ.

F. Analysis of All Feasible Alternatives

Alternative #1: Provide $1.5 million one-time General Fund for a consulting contract to repurpose condemned housing and support spaces at SQ.

Pros:

• Allows an independent consultant to repurpose the areas used for condemned housing and supportive programs at SQ to develop options for space focused on creating a positive, healing environment to provide increased rehabilitative, educational, and health care opportunities.

Cons:

• Results in expenditure of additional General Fund resources.

Alternative #2: Do not provide resources to for a consulting contract to repurpose condemned housing and support spaces at SQ.

Pros:

• Does not result in the expenditure of additional General Fund resources.

Cons:

• Does not allow CDCR to engage with an independent consultant to reimagining the areas used for condemned housing and supportive programs at SQ.

G. Implementation Plan

Beginning July 1, 2022, CDCR will develop a high-level scope of work and release a Request for Proposal with contract execution during the 2022-23 fiscal year. Following contract execution, CDCR expects that the evaluation and final assessment/report will take 9 to 12 months to complete.

H. Supplemental Information

N/A

I. Recommendation

Approve Alternative #1, which will provide $1.5 million one-time General Fund for a consulting contract to repurpose condemned housing and support spaces at SQ.
# BCP Fiscal Detail Sheet

**BCP Title:** Repurposing Condemned Housing  
**BR Name:** 5225-098-BCP-2022-GB

## Budget Request Summary

### Operating Expenses and Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY22 Current Year</th>
<th>FY22 Budget Year</th>
<th>FY22 BY+1</th>
<th>FY22 BY+2</th>
<th>FY22 BY+3</th>
<th>FY22 BY+4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5324 - Facilities Operation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Expenses and Equipment</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Budget Request

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY22 Current Year</th>
<th>FY22 Budget Year</th>
<th>FY22 BY+1</th>
<th>FY22 BY+2</th>
<th>FY22 BY+3</th>
<th>FY22 BY+4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Budget Request</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Fund Summary

### Fund Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Source</th>
<th>FY22 Current Year</th>
<th>FY22 Budget Year</th>
<th>FY22 BY+1</th>
<th>FY22 BY+2</th>
<th>FY22 BY+3</th>
<th>FY22 BY+4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Operations - 0001 - General Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total State Operations Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total All Funds</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Program Summary

### Program Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Funding</th>
<th>FY22 Current Year</th>
<th>FY22 Budget Year</th>
<th>FY22 BY+1</th>
<th>FY22 BY+2</th>
<th>FY22 BY+3</th>
<th>FY22 BY+4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4550055 - Facilities Planning &amp; Construction Mgmt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total All Programs</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>